

Web Supplemental 1: Modifications made to the original IQT tool. Original IQT questions were answered with a simple yes/no.

	Original IQT question	Modification to IQT
1.	Is the author identified in the article?	Question was retained as-is.
2.	When the author refers to another source, are appropriate references provided?	Question was retained as-is.
3.	If the author is not referring to a source, does he/she clearly state that it is only his/her opinion?	Question was retained as-is.
4.	Are the site author's credentials listed?	Question was retained as-is.
5.	Does the site author's credentials relate to the knowledge of the field that is required for the site's subject discussions?	Question was retained as-is.
6.	Are the author's experiences relevant to the topic?	Question was retained as-is.
7.	Is a means provided to contact the author directly ?	Question was retained as-is.
8.	Can you determine who has paid for or sponsored this website?	Question was retained as-is.
9.	Is any financial conflict or bias explained?	Question was retained as-is.
10.	Does the site state that contributors or sponsors have no control over content?	Question was retained as-is.
11.	Is there a means to determine how current the information in the website is, for example - date of last update or posted date?	Question was retained as-is.
12.	Is the information current?	Question was removed from this assessment as it was a part of the content review.
13.	Is the information still relevant? (for example a new HIV treatment posted two years ago may no longer be the most appropriate treatment today)	Question was removed from this assessment as it was a part of the content review.
14.	From your own knowledge and experience, does this site give good medical information?	Question was removed from this assessment as it was a part of the content review.
15.	Is the medical information presented in a balanced and neutral form?	Question was retained as-is.
16.	Are the linked sites current?	The WC3 LinkChecker was used on the site (http://validator.w3.org/checklink).
17.	Do the linked sites give good medical information?	Question was removed from this assessment and will be re-used during expert content review.
18.	If you are allowed to input information or submit queries, is a statement provided that explains whether or not this information is confidential and secure?	Question was retained as-is.
19.	Is the site easily navigable and presented in an organized manner?	The Watchfire Webxact tool was used on the site (http://webxact.watchfire.com/).
20.	Is a search engine provided?	Question was retained as-is.
21.	Does the search engine assist you in using the site?	Question 21 was interpreted to be "does the site have a site map?"